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Introduction

Circumferential compression of the pelvis has been recommended for emergent stabilization of open-book pelvic fractures to reduce the risk of life-threatening hemorrhage1-3. However, little information on the application, effectiveness, and safety of this procedure is available to date4-6. We therefore investigated noninvasive stabilization of pelvic ring fractures in three cadaveric biomechanical studies. First, we established optimal application parameters for circumferential compression of open-book pelvic fractures. Second, we derived the amount of pelvic stabilization that can be achieved by application of a novel pelvic sling that provides for controlled circumferential compression. Finally, we determined whether this pelvic sling could be applied safely at the emergency scene, where the specific pelvic fracture pattern is not readily assessable. The results of this research demonstrate that circumferential compression with this noninvasive pelvic sling is an effective and safe method for reducing and stabilizing open-book pelvic fractures at the emergency scene.

Methods

Application Parameters: A cadaveric study was performed to determine the most effective application site and the force required to reduce open-book pelvic fractures. Partially stable and unstable open-book pelvic fractures (Young-Burgess type-II and III anteroposterior compression fractures associated with a 50 and 100-mm diastasis of the symphysis pubis, respectively) were created sequentially in seven nonembalmed human cadavers from individuals with an average age at death of 80 ± 7 years, an average height of 170 ± 9 cm, and an average weight of 74 ± 8 kg. An experimental pelvic sling consisting of a 50-mm wide, flexible, nonelastic belt was designed. This sling was applied at three distinct transverse levels (levels I, II, and III), extending from the symphysis pubis to the iliac crest (Fig. 2). Pelvic reduction was determined with the use of a symphysis contact sensor. The quality of reduction was determined with use of three-dimensional-motion tracking sensors mounted on each hemipelvis. The required sling tension was measured with use of a load cell.

Efficacy Evaluation: The pelvic stabilization achieved with the use of the noninvasive pelvic sling was compared with that achieved with use of invasive stabilization alternatives in a study of eight nonembalmed human cadavers from individuals who had an average age at death of 73 ± 8 years, an average height of 174 ± 8 cm, and an average weight of 69 ± 17 kg. Unstable unilateral open-book fractures (Young-Burgess type-III anteroposterior compression fractures associated with a 100-mm diastasis of the symphysis pubis) were created. Stabilization was provided first with use of the pelvic sling, which was applied around the greater trochanters and tensioned to 180 N. Subsequently, stabilization was provided with use of a posterior pelvic C-clamp and an anterior external fixator.

![Fig. 1](image-url) Open-book pelvic fracture models. a, Unilateral partially stable Young-Burgess type-II anteroposterior compression fracture. b, Unilateral unstable Young-Burgess type-III anteroposterior compression fracture.
Application of the pelvic sling with sling tension \( F \) at transverse levels I, II, and III, extending from the symphysis pubis to the iliac crest.

(Synthes, Paoli, Pennsylvania). Stability was assessed in terms of the internal-external rotation and flexion-extension rotation of the unstable hemipelvis in response to defined stress (9-Nm internal-external rotation and flexion-extension moments) (Fig. 3).

**Safety Assessment**: The potential for harm due to emergent application of a pelvic sling to unidentified pelvic fractures was assessed with use of eight human cadaveric full-body specimens. A worst-case scenario was modeled by application of the pelvic sling to unstable Young-Burgess type-II lateral compression fractures. The pelvic sling was applied and tensioned to 180 N at the greater trochanteric level. Three-
diminuendo-motion tracking sensors were attached to each hemipelvis to assess pelvic ring collapse in terms of the internal rotation of the unstable hemipelvis (Fig. 3). Inlet radiographs were made to measure the corresponding decrease in pelvic inlet area.

**Results**

Reduction with use of the pelvic sling required significantly less tension at level I compared with levels II and III (Fig. 4). At level I, average sling tensions of 177 ± 44 N and 180 ± 50 N were required to yield complete reduction of type-II and III anteroposterior compression fractures, respectively (Fig. 5).

Application of the pelvic sling significantly stabilized the open-book pelvic fractures, as evidenced by 61% and 55% decreases in pelvic instability in response to internal-external rotation and flexion-extension stresses, respectively (Fig. 6). The stability provided by the noninvasive pelvic sling was directly comparable with that provided by the posterior pelvic C-clamp. However, the pelvic sling provided only one-third of the flexion-extension stability and one-tenth of the internal-external rotation stability as compared with the anteriorly applied external fixator.

Application of the pelvic sling to lateral compression fractures after passive relaxation caused a mild increase in pelvic inlet area and internal rotation to 10.0 ± 8.2% and 12.4 ±
9.1°, respectively (Fig. 7). The increases in pelvic inlet area and internal rotation due to application of the pelvic sling to the relaxed lateral compression fractures were not significant, and the measured values were more than four times smaller than those associated with the maximal displacement observed after fracture creation.

**Discussion**

Early reduction and stabilization of open-book pelvic fractures is recommended to reduce the risk of life-threatening hemorrhage. The results of this study suggest that, for optimal reduction of open-book pelvic fractures, a pelvic sling should be applied around the greater trochanters and the symphysis pubis and should be tensioned to 180 N. The pelvic sling provided significant stabilization, but it provided less stability than an anterior external fixator did. The sling, therefore, is well suited for temporary stabilization of the acutely injured patient prior to and during patient transport or transfer. As the pelvic sling did not cause a significant overreduction of the unstable lateral compression fractures, it may be applied safely at the accident scene before patient transport. This conclusion is based on and limited to a specific and controlled pelvic sling application. Currently, such a pelvic sling with integrated tension control is being used in clinical trials at two level-I trauma centers to document the amount of reduction and stabilization achieved in a controlled clinical setting (Fig. 8).

In conclusion, circumferential pelvic compression by
means of the pelvic sling is an effective, noninvasive, and safe stabilization approach that is well suited for emergent, temporary management of open-book pelvic fractures at the accident scene.

Note: This work was funded by the United States Office of Naval Research and the Legacy Research Foundation.

Corresponding author: Michael Bottlang, PhD
Biomechanics Laboratory, Legacy Health System, Legacy Clinical

References